



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

Application No: GB0412996.1

Examiner: Matthew Nelson

Claims searched: 1-22

Date of search: 18 October 2004

Patents Act 1977: Search Report under Section 17

Documents considered to be relevant:

Category	Relevant to claims	Identity of document and passage or figure of particular relevance
X	1, 12 at least	JP2001222514 A (NTT COMWARE) See WPI Abstract Accession No 2001-593135 [67].
A	-	GB 2369537 A (YANG) See figure 4.
A	-	US 6304894 B1 (NAKAYAMA et al) See whole document.

Categories:

X	Document indicating lack of novelty or inventive step	A	Document indicating technological background and/or state of the art.
Y	Document indicating lack of inventive step if combined with one or more other documents of same category.	P	Document published on or after the declared priority date but before the filing date of this invention.
&	Member of the same patent family	E	Patent document published on or after, but with priority date earlier than, the filing date of this application.

Field of Search:

Search of GB, EP, WO & US patent documents classified in the following areas of the UKC^W :

H4P

Worldwide search of patent documents classified in the following areas of the IPC⁰⁷

G06F; H04L

The following online and other databases have been used in the preparation of this search report

Online: WPI, EPODOC, JAPIO, INSPEC



Your ref : Con Serv Broker II (UK)
Application No: GB0412996.1
Applicant : Symbian Software Ltd
Latest date for reply: 10 June 2005

Examiner : Matthew Nelson
Tel : 01633 814390
Date of report : 19 October 2004
Page 1/1

Patents Act 1977

Combined Search and Examination Report under Sections 17 & 18(3)

Novelty & Inventive step

1. The invention as defined in claims 1, 10, 12 and 21 is not new because it has already been disclosed in the following document:

JP 2001-222514 A (NTT COMWARE CORP.) See the online abstract.

2. In JP '514 a server application registers with a naming bridge/service (or broker) using its allocated (public) reference IOR. The client is then able to access the server application (or service) using this standard reference (or naming convention). The arrangement allows for inter-program client/server communication and appears to satisfy the terms of claims 1, 10, 12 and 21.

3. Restricting access to a server by authenticating a client is standard practice. Thus claims 11 and 22 are considered obvious in light of JP '514.

Clarity

4. Claims 12-22 are directed towards a single server computing device but go on to define the invention in terms of both the server computing device and the client computing device. Given that the invention is concerned with the connection of a client device to a server device, it does not appear appropriate to attempt to define the invention in terms of only one of these devices.

5. The reference to "the client" in claims 15 and 19 lacks an antecedent.